

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Addrew COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
PO Box 1450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATI	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/904,603	07/12/2001	Jennifer L. Hillman	PF-0211-2 DIV	4380	
7	7590 05/3	2003			
INCYTE GENOMICS, INC.			EXAMINER	NER	
PATENT DEP 3160 Porter Dr			NAVARRO, AL	NAVARRO, ALBERT MARK	
Palo Alto, CA	94304		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1645		
			DATE MAILED: 05/30/2003	$\varphi$	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)



Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/904,603

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

Mark Navarro

1645

Hillman et al



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
	for Reply	!			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.					
Status		ı			
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  This acti				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	tion of Claims				
4) 🗶	Claim(s) 1-15, 27, 28, 30, 43, and 44	is/are pending in the application.			
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s)				
	Claim(s)				
8) 💢	Claims 1-15, 27, 28, 30, 43, and 44	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	ition Papers				
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr	- ·			
11) 🗌	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	o this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir	ner.			
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pri	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
,	1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.			
;	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
_					
a)					
Attachme	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. 33 120 and/or 121.			
	ent(s) stice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	romation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/904,603

Art Unit: 1645

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-2, drawn to a polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
  - II. Claims 3-7, 9, and 11-12, drawn to DNA, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
  - III. Claim 8, drawn to transgenic organisms, classified in class 800, subclass 2.
  - IV. Claims 10 and 30, drawn to antibodies, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
  - V. Claims 13-15, drawn to methods of detecting DNA, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
  - VI. Claim 27, drawn to methods for screening a compound for effectiveness in altering expression, classified in class 435, subclass 4.
  - VII. Claim 28, drawn to methods for assessing toxicity of a test compound, classified in class 435, subclass 4.
  - VIII. Claim 43, drawn to methods of detecting a polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
  - IX. Claim 44, drawn to methods of purifying a polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 412.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Application/Control Number: 09/904,603

Page 3

Art Unit: 1645

Invention I drawn to a polypeptide and Invention II drawn to a DNA molecule are distinct since they are products with different structure and biological properties. The polypeptide is made of amino acids whereas the claimed nucleic acid is made of nucleotides. Further methods known in the art used to make the polypeptide require different reagents and parameters from the methods of making DNA encoding the protein and the method of making the polypeptide does not require the DNA. For instance, the protein can be made by Merrifield chemical synthesis or affinity chromatography.

Invention III, drawn to a transgenic organism, is distinct from Inventions I-II and IV-IX, since it is an altered form of life.

Invention IV, drawn to antibodies, is distinct from Inventions I-III and V-IX, since it displays an inherent affinity, avidity and specificity for a given epitope.

Invention V, drawn to methods of detecting DNA, is distinct from Inventions I-IV and VI-IX, since it requires additional biological reagents and parameters for detecting the DNA molecule.

Inventions VI-IX, drawn to methods of detecting DNA, assessing toxicity, detecting polypeptides and purifying polypeptides, respectively, are distinct from Inventions I-V and each other, since each requires additional biological reagents and parameters and involve the detection or identification of different properties of a biological molecule.

Application/Control Number: 09/904,603

Art Unit: 1645

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art because of their separate classification and their recognized divergent

subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Mark Navarro whose telephone number is (703) 306-3225.

Mark Navarro

Primary Examiner

May 27, 2003

Page 4